UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT 5 [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS LITIGATION UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., RASIER, 6 LLC, AND RASIER-CA, LLC'S 7 AMENDED MOTION TO DISMISS This Document Relates to: CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY 8 Jane Doe NLG (N.T.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., WITH PTO 5 et al., No. 3:25-cv-07540-CRB 9 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer Date: January 16, 2026 10 Jane Doe NLG (N.H.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., Time: 10:00 a.m. et al., No 3:25-cv-08109-CRB 11 Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor Jane Doe NLG 5 (A.H.) v. Uber Technologies, 12 Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08112-CRB 13 Jane Doe NLG (N.S.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., 14 et al., No. 3:25-cv-08186-CRB 15 Jane Doe NLG (D.S.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08258-CRB 16 Jane Doe NLG 2 (J.C.) v. Uber Technologies, 17 Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08259-CRB 18 Jane Doe NLG (M.U.) v. Uber Technologies, 19 Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08264-CRB 20 Jane Doe NLG 2 (V.F.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08545-CRB 21 22 Jane Doe NLG (J.O.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-08567-CRB 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with PTO		
3	5, the papers filed in support and opposition, and the record in this case, the Court therefore hereby		
4	ORDERS as follows:		
5	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 4490] is DENIED without prejudice.		
6	Description of the description in Section 1.15 of the Description of t		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8	DATED:	, 2025	
9			HON. CHARLES R. BREYER United States District Court Judge
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
1920			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CASE NO 3:23-MD-03084-CRB	[PROPOSED] OR	2 DER RE: DEFS 'MOT TO DISMISS CASES FOR